10/-

(1) CANCELLATION TEN RUPEES (3)

chor

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

Single Bench

WRIT PETITION NO. 2980 **/2003**

PETITIONERSSS

1. MANBODHI AGED ABOUT YEARS SON OF SHRI POKIRAM SINHA
2. PHAGUA, AGED ABOUT YEARS, SON OF SHRI POKIRAM SINHA
3. TRILOCHAN, AGED ABOUT YEARS, SON OF SHRI POKIRAM SINHA.

ALL ARE RESIDENT OF PEEPARCHHEDI, TAH AND DISTT. DHAMTARI (C.G.)

P. R. No. 2698/03
Presented by Shri E.R. Sahu
dated 10.9.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. LALIT KUMAR, SON OF SHRI GAJRATAN SINHA, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE PEEPARCHHEDI, TAH. DHAMTARI, DISTRICT-DHAMTARI (C.G.).
2. BHOOKHAN SON OF SHRI GAJRATAN, RESIDENT OF ~~VILLAGE CHAROTA, DISTT.~~ DHAMTARI (C.G.).
3. DINESH KUMAR, SON OF GAJRATAN, RESIDENT OF GANJPARA, DISTT. DURG (CHHATTISGARH).
4. GAYATRI BAI, D/O. SHRI GAJRATAN SINHA,
5. JANKI BAI DAUGHTER OF GAJRATAN SINHA

6. SHIVRANY WIFE OF LAL SINGH SINHA
7. SARASWATI BAI WIFE OF SHRI BHUBHANLAL SINHA
8. PUSHPABAI WIFE OF HEMLAL SINHA
9. NEERABAI WIFE OF AASHARAM SINHA
10. RAMBAI, WIDOW OF LATE GAJRATAN SINHA
11. ISHWARI BAI WIFE OF SHIVKUMAR SINHA
12. KIRAN BAI DAUGHTER OF GAJRATAN SINHA

SL.NO. 3 TO 12 THROUGH : LALIT SINHA RESIDENT OF PEEPARCHHEDI, DISTT. DHAMTARI (C.G.)

13. DAMODAR SINHA SON OF SHRI ARJUN SINHA,
14. DEVIPRASAD SON OF SHRI ARJUN SINHA
15. DANESHWAR PRASAD SON OF SHRI ARJUN SINHA.

SLNO. 13 TO 15 R/O. VILL PIPARCHHEDI, TAH AND DISTT. DHAMTARI (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(62)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 298/03 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	20/2/2004 Shri C.R. Sahu, counsel for the petitioners. Heard. Brief facts of the case are that the plaintiffs respondents filed the suit against Damodar, Devi Prasad, Dhaneswar and the present petitioners for grant of declaration, title and injunction alongwith an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 C.P.C. The trial Court passed the order on 28.10.2002. The petitioners preferred an appeal. The lower Appellate Court perused the record and considered the matter in great details and found that the order passed by the trial Court is well merited. In para-8 it has been held that prima facie it appears that the plaintiff is in possession over the land in dispute. The lower Appellate Court vide order dated 07.08.2003 rejected the appeal. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record and in view of the settled law laid down	

GRPF

(63)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

cor मामला क्रमांक 2980/03 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	by the Apex Court in the case of Surya Dev Rai vs. Ramchandar Rai and others reported in (2003) 6 SCC 675, in the opinion of this Court, no infirmity as such warranting interference is pointed out in the present case. However, it is directed that the trial Court shall dispose of the case within a period of 6 months from the date of production of this order before it, subject to cooperation of the parties and their counsel. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

GEPRJ—FS/78 —2/200(—50,000.

Pl. 8/5/07